

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,870	08/06/2003	Takayuki Yagi	03560.002432.1	7625	
5514 EITZDATDICK	7590 09/28/200	EXAMINER			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			VARGOT, MATHIEU D		
NEW YORK,	NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1732			
•					
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/634,870	YAGI ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Mathieu D. Vargot	1732			

·	Mathieu D. Vargot		1/32	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover shee	et with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CO	ONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	ving replies: (1) an ame tice of Appeal (with appose with 37 CFR 1.114.	endment, af peal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS fro	om the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	06.07(f). on which the petition under tension and the corresponshortened statutory period than three months after t	er 37 CFR 1. nding amount I for reply orig	136(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	te extension fee ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	es of the date of e appeal. Since
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo They are not deemed to place the application in below the second of the	nsideration and/or sear w);	rch (see NO	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		e of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7			•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	⊠ will not be entered, vided below or appende	orb)∐ wi ed.	ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons wh	y the affidav	rit or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections y and was not earlier pr	under appearesented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the cla	aims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the a	application in	n condition for allowar	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No	(s)		
13. Other:			1116 +	
			M. Vaust Mathieu D. Vargot	
			Mathieu D. Wargot	
			Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1732 9/19/07	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The aspect of the diameter of the opening being incorporated into theindependent claims constitutes a new issue at this point, in that the rejection would have to be amended should the case proceed to the board and the prosecution be reopened